arXiv:1606.03265v1 [hep-ph] 10 Jun 2016

Searching for neutrino oscillation parameters in long baseline experiments

Sampsa Vihonen

University of Jyvaskyla, Department of Physics, P.O. Box 35, FI-40014 University of Jyvaskyla, Finland

DOI: will be assigned

Developing neutrino astronomy requires a good understanding of the neutrino oscillations mechanism. The European strategy for neutrino oscillation physics sets a high priority on future long baseline neutrino experiments with the aim to measure the intrinsic parameters that govern the neutrino oscillations. In this work we take a look at the next generation of long baseline experiments and discuss their prospects in future research.

1 Introduction

The dream of using neutrino telescopes as messengers of the universe in the future is built on the idea that the neutrino oscillation mechanism is eventually resolved in the neutrino oscillation experiments. The prospects of these experiments include both determining the parameters of the standard three-neutrino paradigm and probing non-standard interactions (NSI) that may follow from potential Beyond Standard Model theories.

Neutrino oscillation experiments are typically categorized into solar, reactor, atmospheric and accelerator-based experiments. In this work we focus on long baseline neutrino experiments where an intense muon neutrino beam is directed to traverse long distances underground.

In the Standard Model of particle physics (SM) neutrino sector the relationship between the three neutrino mass states (ν_1, ν_2, ν_3) and the three flavor states $(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$ is usually expressed as a 3×3 matrix known as the PMNS matrix after Bruno Pontecorvo, Ziro Maki, Masami Nakagawa and Shoichi Sakata. The mixing of neutrino states is the source to the phenomenon that is known as neutrino oscillations. The PMNS matrix can be written in terms of the three mixing angles and one phase in the form [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{\mathrm{CP}}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta_{\mathrm{CP}}} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times diag\left(e^{i\alpha_1/2}, e^{i\alpha_2/2}, 1\right) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $s_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij}$ and $c_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, $\theta_{ij} \in [0, \pi/2]$ are the intrinsic mixing angles, $\delta_{CP} \in [0, 2\pi]$ is the Dirac CP violation phase and α_1, α_2 are two Majorana CP violation phases.

The transition probability between two neutrino flavor states $\nu_l \to \nu_{l'}$ is calculated as:

$$P_{ll'} = \sum_{j,k} U^*_{lj} U_{l'j} U_{lk} U^*_{l'k} e^{-iL\Delta m^2_{jk}/2E}, \tag{2}$$

where L is the baseline length of the experiment and E is the energy of the neutrino produced. Here neutrino mass squared differences $\Delta m^2_{jk} = m^2_j - m^2_k$ for $j, k = 1, 2, 3$ are calculated from the neutrino masses m_1, m_2 and m_3.

The expression in Eq. (2) can be further simplified into

$$P_{ll'} = \delta_{ll'} - \sum_{j,k;j>k} \left[4 \sin^2 \Delta_{kj} \, \mathrm{Re}\, W^{jk}_{ll'} - 2 \sin 2\Delta_{kj} \, \mathrm{Im}\, W^{jk}_{ll'} \right], \tag{3}$$

where $W^{jk}_{ll'} = U^*_{lj} U_{l'j} U_{lk} U^*_{l'k}$ and $\Delta_{kj} = \Delta m^2_{kj} L/4E$. It is seen from expression (3) that the oscillation probabilities can be tuned to desirable values by choosing L/E conveniently.

In this paper, we analyze the potential of the planned long baseline neutrino oscillation experiment LBNO at two tasks. On the one hand we study its performance for resolving the intrinsic θ_{23} octant degeneracy, which will be described in Section 4, and on the other hand we study its ability to probe the matter NSI effects, which will be described in Section 5. That is, we derive numerically the values of θ_{23} for which the octant degeneracy that can be resolved and also calculate the new upper bounds that LBNO could give to $|\varepsilon^m_{\alpha\beta}|$ where $\alpha, \beta = e, \mu, \tau$.

2 Oscillation parameters: A general outlook

The present values of the oscillation parameters are given in Table 1. The values of the reactor and solar mixing angles (θ_{13} and θ_{12} respectively) have been determined in previous neutrino experiments with good precision, but the value of the atmospheric mixing angle θ_{23} has yet to be determined accurately. The other unknown quantities are the sign of Δm^2_{31} and the amount of CP violation δ_{CP} in the leptonic sector.

Parameter	Value
θ_{12}	$31.8°$... $37.8°$
θ_{13}	$7.7°$... $9.9°$
θ_{23}	$38.8°$... $53.3°$
Δm^2_{21}	$(7.11 \ldots 8.18) \cdot 10^{-5}\mathrm{eV}^2$
$\lvert\Delta m^2_{31}\rvert$	$(2.20 \ldots 2.65) \cdot 10^{-3}\mathrm{eV}^2$
δ_{CP}	$0 \ldots 360°$

Table 1: Standard neutrino oscillation parameters [1]. For the unknown δ_{CP} we have denoted the values considered in numerical calculations, and the extra phases $\alpha_{1,2}$ only come into play in double-beta decay experiments.

3 Simulation of long baseline neutrino experiments

One of the most promising methods to determine the values of neutrino oscillation parameters is to use long baseline experiments which are designed to study neutrino oscillations by sending neutrinos through long distances underground, where the matter presence affects the oscillation probabilities. In this work we simulate a long baseline neutrino oscillation experiment that was described the LBNO design study (see Ref. [2] for more details).

In LBNO the idea is to send neutrino and antineutrino beams, produced at the CERN SPS accelerator, towards the Pyhäsalmi mine, located in central Finland at the distance 2288 km from CERN, where the neutrino fluxes would be measured by using a two-phase Liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber. According to the design study, the liquid argon tank would have been paired with a magnetized muon detector. In this plan, the size of the detector was planned to have 20 kton fiducial mass. The 0.75 MW neutrino beam would have been created with the CERN SPS facility.

The simulation is done by using the GLoBES software [3, 4]. GLoBES calculates the oscillation probabilities and the corresponding neutrino rates for any given set of oscillation parameter values. The standard set of the software simulates the neutrino propagation from source to detector and computes the standard matter interactions, and with a suitable extension also takes into account all matter-induced NSI effects in the propagation. The software computes χ^2 distributions to compare different sets of oscillation parameter values.

We will determine for both mass hierarchies, the 1σ, 2σ and 3σ sensitivity limit of the angle θ_{23} that LBNO can achieve with 5+5 –years neutrino and antineutrino run, allowing the CP phase to vary in the range 0 to 360°. We will also determine the new 90% confidence level upper bounds that LBNO could set to the absolute values of $\varepsilon^m_{\alpha\beta}$ parameters that describe the strength of non-standard interactions between neutrinos and the matter.

4 Determination of the θ_{23} octant

The main reason behind the large ambiguity in the θ_{23} value can be traced to degeneracy between θ_{23} and its opposite octant $90° - \theta_{23}$. In this section we show where the octant degeneracy originates and for what θ_{23} values it can be resolved in an LBNO–like experiment.

In long baseline experiments one is interested mainly in the oscillation channels $\nu_\mu \to \nu_\mu$ (disappearance channel) and $\nu_\mu \to \nu_e$ (appearance channel). For small quantities $\Delta^2_{21}/\Delta^2_{31}$ and $\sin\theta_{13}$, the θ_{23} dependence of the oscillation probability $P_{\mu\mu}$ is of the form $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} \sin^2 \Delta$ where $\Delta = \Delta m^2_{31} L/4E$. Since

$$P_{\mu\mu}(90° - \theta_{23}) = P_{\mu\mu}(90°),$$

the measurements of the channel $\nu_\mu \to \nu_\mu$ are not suitable for resolving the degeneracy.

In a future long baseline neutrino experiment this problem with θ_{23} octants is resolved by studying the electron appearance from $\nu_\mu \to \nu_e$ oscillations. The leading term of $P_{\mu e}$ has a dependence on θ_{23} that is not octant degenerate, and hence the $\nu_\mu \to \nu_e$ oscillations bring in the primary component to the determination of θ_{23} octant.

Using the GLoBES software, we simulated the hypothetical experiment that was described in the LBNO design study (see Ref. [2] for more details). In the simulations we assume the same oscillation parameter values that are given in Table 1.



Figure 1: Determination ability of the θ_{23} octant in LBNO [2] in normal hierarchy mode NH (left panel) and in inverted hierarchy mode IH (right panel). Straight vertical lines illustrate the 40° and 50° angles.

The results are presented in Fig. 1. In both panels the white regions in the plots are the areas for which the values of θ_{23}, $\delta_{\rm CP}$ can be established with a confidence level greater than 3σ. Within these areas, one can eliminate with a confidence level larger than 3σ the possibility for these parameters to lie in the other octant. The colored regions on the other hand show the values where no such distinction is possible with the indicated confidence level.

In Figure 1 we have also marked the MINOS favored θ_{23} values 40° and 50° by green lines. It is seen that for this particular setup the right θ_{23} octant can be asserted in NH with at least 3σ confidence level. As for IH this limit is reached for the lower octant, but for the higher octant it fails to be reached for $\delta_{\rm CP}$ values between -100° and +100°.

5 Constraining non-standard interaction parameters

In this section we analyze how an LBNO–like experiment would constrain the NSI effects that arise from extra couplings between neutrinos and matter.

The NSI effects can be parametrized in terms of effective charged current like (CC) and neutral current like (NC) Lagrangians [5]. In the low energy regime, these Lagrangians are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{NSI}^{CC} &= -2\sqrt{2}G_F\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{ff',C}(\overline{\nu}_\alpha\gamma^\mu P_L\ell_\beta)(\overline{f}\gamma^\mu P_C f'), \\ \mathcal{L}_{NSI}^{NC} &= -2\sqrt{2}G_F\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{f,C}(\overline{\nu}_\alpha\gamma^\mu P_L\nu_\beta)(\overline{f}\gamma^\mu P_C f). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In Equation (4) the indices f and f' label charged leptons or quarks (ℓ_i, u_i, d_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$),

whereas $G_F = 1.166 \times 10^{-5}\text{GeV}^{-2}$ is the Fermi coupling constant, α, β refer to neutrino flavor (e, μ, τ), and $C = L, R$ refers to the chirality structure of the charged lepton interaction. P_L and P_R are the chiral projection operators.

In this work we focus on the parameters $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{ff',C}$ and $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{f,C}$ which are dimensionless numbers. It is assumed here that the effective non-standard interactions have V–A Lorentz structure, and hence we allow both left-handed ($P_C = P_L$) and right-handed ($P_C = P_R$) couplings for the charged fermions. The charged current Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{NSI}^{CC}$ is relevant for the NSI effects in the source and detector, since both in the creation and detection processes involve charged fermions. The neutral current Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{NSI}^{NC}$ in turn is relevant for the NSI matter effects. The effective field theory described by Eq. (4) are assumed to follow from some unspecified beyond-the-standard-model theory after integrating out heavy degrees of freedom.

Our interest is in the NSI effects that contribute to the propagation in matter. In this case, the NSI could contribute to the coherent forward scattering of neutrinos in the Earths crust. The effective Hamiltonian is given by:

$$H = \frac{1}{2E_\nu}\left[U\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta m_{21}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta m_{31}^2 \end{pmatrix} U^\dagger + V\right] \tag{5}$$

where E_ν is the energy of the neutrino, U is the mixing matrix (1) and V is the effective matter potential:

$$V = A\begin{pmatrix} 1+\varepsilon_{ee}^m & \varepsilon_{e\mu}^m & \varepsilon_{e\tau}^m \\ \varepsilon_{e\mu}^{m*} & \varepsilon_{\mu\mu}^m & \varepsilon_{\mu\tau}^m \\ \varepsilon_{e\tau}^{m*} & \varepsilon_{\mu\tau}^{m*} & \varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^m \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

Equations (5) and (6) include both the SM and NSI matter effects. We study numerically how the future neutrino oscillation experiments would constrain the absolute values of the NSI parameters $\varepsilon_{e\mu}$ and $\varepsilon_{e\tau}$. We will concentrate here on the future long baseline neutrino experiments, using the LBNO setup as our benchmark. For each δ_{CP} value, we have calculated the 90% confidence level contour varying the baseline length parameter L and the CP phase δ_{CP}. In every case, a 90% confidence level contour is found and the results merged in a contour band. The results are plotted in Fig. 2.

6 Summary

In this work we have presented the measurement potential of a potential future long baseline neutrino experiment in the determination of the θ_{23} octant and constrained the $|\varepsilon_{e\mu}^m|$ and $|\varepsilon_{e\tau}^m|$ parameter spaces for non-standard neutrino interactions that arise from the neutrino propagation in matter. In both cases we have demonstrated the interference both of these tasks suffer from the δ_{CP} parameter whose value is still unknown. We used the recent LBNO design study as our benchmark model and performed the numerical study with the GLoBES software.



Figure 2: Constraining capability of LBNO for the absolute values of the non-standard interaction parameters $\varepsilon^m_{e\mu}$ and $\varepsilon^m_{e\tau}$ in normal hierarchy mode NH. See Ref. [5] for more details.

References

[1] D. V. Forero, M. Tortola, and J. W. F. Valle. Neutrino oscillations refitted. *Phys. Rev.*, D90(9):093006, 2014.

[2] C. R. Das, Jukka Maalampi, Joao Pulido, and Sampsa Vihonen. Determination of the θ_{23} octant in LBNO. *JHEP*, 02:048, 2015.

[3] Patrick Huber, M. Lindner, and W. Winter. Simulation of long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiments with GLoBES (General Long Baseline Experiment Simulator). *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 167:195, 2005.

[4] Patrick Huber, Joachim Kopp, Manfred Lindner, Mark Rolinec, and Walter Winter. New features in the simulation of neutrino oscillation experiments with GLoBES 3.0: General Long Baseline Experiment Simulator). *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 177:432–438, 2007.

[5] Katri Huitu, Timo J. Karkkainen, Jukka Maalampi, and Sampsa Vihonen. Constraining the nonstandard interaction parameters in long baseline neutrino experiments. *Phys. Rev.*, D93(5):053016, 2016.